	Case 1:20-cv-00148-DAD-SKO Docume	ent 16	Filed 05/18/20	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	TOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	KIRELL FRANCIS BETTIS TRUST and		: 1:20-cv-00148-N	IONE-SKO
12	KIRELL F. BETTIS-TAYLOR,			NG MAY 7, 2020 FILING
13	Plaintiffs,	OK	DEK ADDKESSI	NO MAT 7, 2020 FILING
14	v.	(Do	oc. No. 14)	
15	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), K. GREEN, and A. RASCHKE,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	TI: 1 1 1 1 1 27 20	20 6	1	
19	This case was closed on March 27, 2020, after plaintiff, who is subject to the three strikes			
20	provision of the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and who failed to			
21	satisfy the imminent danger exception contained within that provision, failed to pay the \$400.00			
22	filing fee in full. (Doc. No. 11.) On April 27, 2020, the court denied plaintiff's motion for			
23	reconsideration, but afforded plaintiff one opportunity to pay the required filing fee, indicating			
24	that if plaintiff failed to pay the fee by May 11, 2020, the case would remain closed. (Doc. No.			
25	13.) Instead of paying the fee, on May 7, 2020, plaintiff filed a document entitled "Rule 60(b)			
26	motion and application to proceed in forma pauperis." (Doc. No. 14.) Despite its title, the			
27	document appears to be a promissory note provided in an apparent attempt to pay the filling fee.			
28	That method of payment was rejected by the Clerk of Court because a promissory note is not a			

Case 1:20-cv-00148-DAD-SKO Document 16 Filed 05/18/20 Page 2 of 2 proper form of payment. (Doc. No. 15.). Accordingly, this case will remain closed. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 18, 2020